

## Math 454 Handout 3

### On Fourier Transform

The Fourier Transform is the analogue of the Fourier series on an infinite domain.

Let's consider first a finite domain  $[-L, L]$  with periodic boundary condition. Remember: since  $\{e^{\frac{-in\pi x}{L}}\}_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty}$  solves the Sturm-Liouville problem  $\phi'' + \lambda\phi = 0$  with  $2L$ -periodic boundary conditions, they also form an orthogonal basis for  $2L$ -periodic functions. Thus, given smooth function  $f(x)$ , its Fourier series, in the complex exponential form, is

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{\frac{-in\pi x}{L}} \quad (1)$$

with coefficients given by

$$c_n = \frac{\int_{-L}^L f(x) e^{\frac{-in\pi x}{L}} dx}{\int_{-L}^L |e^{\frac{in\pi x}{L}}|^2 dx} = \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^L f(x) e^{\frac{in\pi x}{L}} dx \quad (2)$$

Then, we formally let  $L \rightarrow \infty$  and consider S-L problem  $\phi''(x) + \lambda\phi(x) = 0$  for  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . Then, the solution family consists of  $\lambda = \omega^2$  and  $\phi_\lambda(x) = \{e^{-i\omega x}\}$  for **all**  $\omega \in (-\infty, \infty)$  — thus it's called a continuous spectrum. The Fourier series in (1) become the Fourier integral (just like the limit of Riemann sum becomes an integral)

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(\omega) e^{-i\omega x} d\omega, \quad (3)$$

where the counterpart of (2) is

$$c(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i\omega x} dx \quad (4)$$

**Definition.** Transforms between  $f(x)$  and  $c(\omega)$  in (3), (4) are called the Fourier transform pair. In particular,  $c(\omega)$ , more often than not denoted as  $\hat{f}(\omega)$ , is the Fourier transform of  $f(x)$ . And,  $f(x)$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{f}(\omega)$ .

$$f(x) \leftarrow F.T. \rightarrow \hat{f}(\omega)$$

- Application of Fourier transform in solving PDEs on infinite domains.

Consider the 1D heat equation

$$u_t = ku_{xx} \quad u(x, 0) = g(x),$$

for  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$ . The idea here is to apply FT (4) on each term of the equation and arrive at an ODE of  $\hat{f}(\omega)$ . Solve for  $\hat{f}(x)$  with ease and finally invert it back to  $f(x)$  using the inverse FT (3).

So, first, FT the original PDE

$$\hat{u}_t = k\widehat{u_{xx}}$$

(Note that  $k$  is a constant and thus can be factored out.) Now, since FT only involves  $x$  and  $\omega$ , it doesn't affect the time derivative on the LSH

$$u_t \leftarrow F.T. \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}(\omega, t).$$

But it does affect the RHS.

### Differentiation in $x$ -domain amounts to multiplication in $\omega$ -domain

In fact, differentiate (3) w.r.t.  $x$

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e^{-i\omega x} d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(\omega) (-i\omega) e^{-i\omega x} d\omega$$

and therefore

$$f'(x) \leftarrow F.T. \rightarrow -i\omega \hat{f}(\omega)$$

Do it one more time

$$f''(x) \leftarrow F.T. \rightarrow -\omega^2 \hat{f}(\omega).$$

Now, the original PDE, after F.T., becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}(\omega, t) = -k\omega^2 \hat{u}(\omega, t)$$

which ONLY involves  $t$  derivative. In other words, for any fixed  $\omega$ , the above equation is an ODE and we can simply integrate it to obtain

$$\hat{u}(t, \omega) = \hat{u}(0, \omega) e^{-k\omega^2 t} = \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-k\omega^2 t}.$$

Here,  $\hat{u}(0, \omega)$  simply comes from the F.T. of the original initial condition  $u(x, 0) = g(x)$ .

Finally, apply the inverse F.T. (3) on  $\hat{u}$  to get

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(\omega) e^{-k\omega^2 t} e^{-i\omega x} d\omega. \tag{5}$$

- Fourier transform and convolution.

The solution formula (5) can be inconvenient sometimes since it involves imaginary numbers and TWO integrations (one for  $\hat{g}$  and one for  $u$ ). To simplify this, we use another very important property of F.T.

**Multiplication in  $\omega$ -domain amounts to convolution in  $x$ -domain.**

More precisely, if the F.T. of  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are  $\hat{f}(\omega)$  and  $\hat{g}(\omega)$ , then the inverse F.T. of  $\hat{f}(\omega)\hat{g}(\omega)$  is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} f * g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_0)g(x - x_0)dx_0 \leftarrow F.T. \rightarrow \hat{f}(\omega)\hat{g}(\omega).$$

(Notice the prefactor  $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ .) Apply this property to (5), we see that  $u(x, t)$  equals the inverse F.T. of  $\hat{g}(\omega)e^{-k\omega^2 t}$ , which is

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} g(x) * G(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x_0)G(x - x_0, t) dx_0 \quad (6)$$

where  $G(x, t)$  is the inverse F.T. of  $e^{-k\omega^2 t}$ . Classical calculation shows that

$$G(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{kt}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4kt}}.$$

Final remark: the form of (6) reminds us of the Green's function. In fact,  $G(x, t)$  is the Green's function for the heat equation, satisfying

$$G_t = kG_{xx}, \quad G(x, 0^+) = \delta(x).$$